

AD\_\_\_\_\_

Award Number: W81XWH-11-1-0811

TITLE: Developing a Meaningful Life: Social Reintegration of Service-Members and Veterans with Spinal Cord Injury

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Seth D. Messinger

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Maryland Baltimore County  
Baltimore, MD 21250

REPORT DATE: October 2013

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;  
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>					
1. REPORT DATE October 201H		2. REPORT TYPE CEnnual		3. DATES COVERED 30 September 2012–2J September 201H	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Developing a Meaningful Life: Social Reintegration of Service-Members and Veterans with Spinal Cord Injury				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-11-1-0811	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Seth D. Messinger, PhD – Principal Investigator Mark Luborsky, PhD – Co-Principal Investigator  E-Mail:				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250 Wayne State University, 87 E Ferry St., Detroit MI 48202				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Spinal cord injuries now occur in nearly one quarter of the casualties from the conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq. We know our military treatment facilities are seriously challenged by these casualties for several reasons including co-morbidities associated with blast injuries and the lengthy rehabilitation required. We also now know medical treatments that promise to return the fractured bodies to approximate pre-injury function. Yet, we lack basic knowledge of the long-term outcomes defined as personally and socially meaningful by survivors and families who are seeking to heal the fractured relationships with valued communities. This gap limits our ability to deliver on the promise given by advances in battlefield trauma care and rehabilitation which is to enable a return to full lives in the community (Messinger 2010; Luborsky 1993, 1994a). Today's problem is that acute care treatments for the physical break are not matched by knowledge of how survivors continue life, conceptualize SCI and return to a full life. The US Surgeon General (DHHS 2004) faults the literatures' narrow incident-based focus on acute medical events and neglect of ongoing processes after events; this narrowness neglects how people return to a valued life with disability(Verbrugge& Jette 1994). Yet, consensus is emerging that long-term outcomes are the next frontier (IOM 2005, WHO 2001) requiring us to ask new questions and use methods suited to cultural meanings and roles (Lysack et al 2007; Messinger 2010). An ample literature documents negative outcomes from inadequately treating the social and personal afflictions after SCI and other mobility loss. Thus, the hard earned knowledge of physical skills for living with altered bodies remains unmatched by societal practices to equip people with a culturally meaningful sense of community needed to thrive. We argue the time is ripe to discover the ways people with SCI create a sense of connection to meaningful communities and cultural identities that is key to long-term success.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Spinal Cord Injury, Community Reintegration, Qualitative Research					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

---

## Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction.....	3
Body.....	4
Key Research Accomplishments.....	5
Reportable Outcomes.....	6
Conclusion.....	
References.....	8
Appendices.....	N/A

## Introduction:

Spinal cord injuries now occur in nearly one quarter of the casualties from the conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq. We know our military treatment facilities are seriously challenged by these casualties for several reasons including co-morbidities associated with blast injuries and the lengthy rehabilitation required. We also now know medical treatments that promise to return the fractured bodies to approximate pre-injury function. Yet, we lack basic knowledge of the long-term outcomes defined as personally and socially meaningful by survivors and families who are seeking to heal the fractured relationships with valued communities. This gap limits our ability to deliver on the promise given by advances in battlefield trauma care and rehabilitation which is to enable a return to full lives in the community (Messinger 2010; Luborsky 1993, 1994a).

Today's problem is that acute care treatments for the physical break are not matched by knowledge of how survivors continue life, conceptualize SCI and return to a full life. The US Surgeon General (DHHS 2004) faults the literatures' narrow incident-based focus on acute medical events and neglect of ongoing processes after events; this narrowness neglects how people return to a valued life with disability (Verbrugge & Jette 1994). Yet, consensus is emerging that long-term outcomes are the next frontier (IOM 2007, WHO 2001) one which require us to ask new questions and use methods suited to cultural meanings and roles (Lysack et al 2007; Messinger 2010). An ample literature documents the negative outcomes from not adequately treating the social and personal afflictions after SCI, and other mobility loss. Thus, the hard earned knowledge of physical skills for living with altered bodies remains unmatched by societal practices to equip people with a culturally meaningful sense of community needed to thrive. We argue the time is ripe to discover the ways people with SCI create a sense of connection to meaningful communities and cultural identities that is key to long-term success.

Body:

This project was approved for funding in September 2011. The principal investigators initiated applications to their university HIC/IRB boards for human subjects research approval in accordance with established requirements of the funding agency for the two sites to submit protocols to their respective IRBs. UMBC's IRB initially approved the protocol on October 25, 2011 with final approval after CDMRP requested changes came in late April 2012. Wayne State University's IRB gave final approval to their study on April 17, 2012. We received word from Lori Walther at CDMRP that we had approval to begin our project in May 2012. Following receipt of the IRB approval from CDMRP we inaugurated the next stage in research startup.

In the past 12 months of research activities UMBC and WSU met the goals laid out in the SOW. These include:

- Hired and Trained Research Assistant Staff members (one at each site)
- Updated literature reviews for relevant research findings and methods
- Training of team members in ethnographic methods of research and analysis
- Develop and refined interview guide
- Organize the community panel
- Initiated collaboration with recruitment sources and sites
- Recruit participants (we have recruited 3)
- Initiate interviews.
- PIs at UMBC and WSU conducted regular meetings via phone and email

### Key Research Accomplishments:

Research activities began in June 2012. To this date our research accomplishments have included:

- Hired and Trained Research Assistant Staff members (one at each site)
- Training of team members in ethnographic methods of research and analysis
- Updated literature reviews for relevant research findings and methods
- Develop and refined interview guide
- Organize the community panel
- Recruit participants (we have recruited 3)
- Initiate interviews.
- PIs at UMBC and WSU conducted regular meetings via phone and email

## Reportable Outcomes

At this point there are no reportable outcomes from research activities.

## Conclusions

Recruitment for this study has been challenging. We have initiated collaborative relationships with multiple chapters of the Paralyzed Veterans of America. In addition to this we have successfully approached the DAV about promoting our study. An announcement was placed in the DAV magazine which elicited over 20 potential participants. Unfortunately none of them met the criteria for inclusion. They were either not veterans of OIF or OEF, or they did not have an SCI. We have received word from PN (the magazine of PVA) that an announcement of our study will be placed in their December publication. We have also established a collaboration with the University of Pittsburgh in order to have our project disseminated through their database of OIF / OEF veterans with SCI.

Furthermore, and in line with our recruitment and sampling strategies, we have accessed the networks of our currently recruited participants in order to meet our sample requirements.

If these efforts do not boost our enrollment we will apply to alter our inclusion criteria to include all veterans with SCI.



## References

- Institute of Medicine. (2007). *The Future of Disability in America: Assessing the role of rehabilitation science and engineering*. New York: Academy of Medicine Press.
- Luborsky, M. 1993. The Romance With Personal Meaning In Gerontology: Cultural Aspects Of Life Themes. *The Gerontologist*, 33(4), 350-354.
- Luborsky, M. 1994a. The Cultural Adversity of Physical Disability: Erosion of Full Adult Personhood. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 8(3), 239-253.
- Lysack, C., Komanecky, M., Kabel, A., Cross, K., & Neufeld, S. (2007). Environmental factors and their role in community integration after spinal cord injury. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 74, 243-254.
- Messinger, S 2010. Getting Past the Accident: Explosive Devices, Limb Loss, and Refashioning a Life in a Military Medical Center. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 24(3), 281-303.
- Verbrugge, L., & Jette, A. (1994). The disablement process. *Social Science and Medicine*, 38(1), 1-14.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2001). *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health – ICF*. Geneva, Switzerland: